



भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक  
**RESERVE BANK OF INDIA**  
[www.rbi.org.in](http://www.rbi.org.in)

RBI/DOR/2021-22/85

DOR.STR.REC.53/21.04.177/2021-22

September 24, 2021

All Scheduled Commercial Banks (including Small Finance Banks but excluding Regional Rural Banks);  
All All-India Term Financial Institutions (NABARD, NHB, EXIM Bank, and SIDBI);  
All Non-Banking Financial Companies (NBFCs) including Housing Finance Companies (HFCs)

**Master Direction – Reserve Bank of India (Securitisation of Standard Assets) Directions, 2021**

Please refer to the Draft Framework for Securitisation of Standard Assets that was released on [June 8, 2020](#) for comments from various stakeholders.

2. Based on the examination of the comments received, the Reserve Bank has issued the Master Direction – Reserve Bank of India (Securitisation of Standard Assets) Directions, 2021, which are enclosed. These directions have been issued in exercise of the powers conferred by the Sections 21 and 35A of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949; Chapter IIIB of the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934; and Sections 30A, 32 and 33 of the National Housing Bank Act, 1987.

3. These directions come into immediate effect replacing the existing instructions on the matter of securitisation of standard assets. All lending institutions are advised to take necessary steps to ensure compliance with these directions.

Yours faithfully,

(Manoranjan Mishra)  
Chief General Manager



भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक  
**RESERVE BANK OF INDIA**  
[www.rbi.org.in](http://www.rbi.org.in)

DOR.STR.REC.54/21.04.177/2021-22

September 24, 2021

**Master Direction – Reserve Bank of India (Securitisation of Standard Assets)  
Directions, 2021**

**Introduction**

Securitisation involves transactions where credit risk in assets are redistributed by repackaging them into tradeable securities with different risk profiles which may give investors of various classes access to exposures which they otherwise might be unable to access directly. While complicated and opaque securitisation structures could be undesirable from the point of view of financial stability, prudentially structured securitisation transactions can be an important facilitator in a well-functioning financial market in that it improves risk distribution and liquidity of lenders in originating fresh loan exposures.

Given the above, in exercise of the powers conferred by the Sections 21 and 35A of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949; Chapter IIIB of the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934; and Sections 30A, 32 and 33 of the National Housing Bank Act, 1987, the Reserve Bank, being satisfied that it is necessary and expedient in the public interest so to do, hereby, issues the directions hereinafter specified.

**Short title and commencement**

1. These directions shall be called the Reserve Bank of India (Securitisation of Standard Assets) Directions, 2021.
2. These directions shall come into force with immediate effect.

**Chapter I: Scope and Definitions**

**A. Applicability and Purpose**

3. The provisions of these directions shall apply to the following entities (collectively referred to as lenders in these directions) unless specifically mentioned otherwise:
  - (a) Scheduled Commercial Banks (excluding Regional Rural Banks);

- (b) All India Term Financial Institutions (NABARD, NHB, EXIM Bank, and SIDBI);
  - (c) Small Finance Banks (*as permitted under [Operating Guidelines for Small Finance Banks dated October 6, 2016](#) and as amended from time to time*);  
and,
  - (d) All Non-Banking Financial Companies (NBFCs) including Housing Finance Companies (HFCs).
4. These directions will be applicable to securitisation transactions undertaken subsequent to the issue of these directions.

## **B. Definitions**

5. For the purpose of these directions, the following definitions apply:
- (a) “*bankruptcy remote*” means the unlikelihood of an entity being subjected to voluntary or involuntary bankruptcy proceedings, including by the originator or the creditors to the originator;
  - (b) “*clause*” means a clause of these directions, unless otherwise specified;
  - (c) “*clean-up call*” means an option that permits the originator to call the underlying exposures or the securitisation exposures when the outstanding value of the underlying exposures falls below a pre-defined threshold, thereby extinguishing the remaining securitisation exposures of all parties;
  - (d) “*credit enhancement*” means a contractual arrangement in which an entity mitigates the credit risk associated with a securitisation exposure and, in substance, provides some degree of added protection to other parties to the transaction so as to mitigate the credit risk of their securitisation exposures;
  - (e) “*early amortisation provision*” means a mechanism that, once triggered, accelerates the reduction of the investor’s interest in underlying exposures of a securitisation structure and allows investors to be paid out prior to the originally stated maturity of the securitisation notes issued;
  - (f) “*excess spread (or future margin income)*” means the difference between the gross finance charge collections and other income received by the special

purpose entity (SPE), and securitisation notes interest, servicing fees, charge-offs, and other senior SPE expenses.

- (g) “*exposure amount*” of a securitisation exposure means the sum of the on-balance sheet amount of the exposure, or carrying value – which takes into account purchase discounts and writedowns/specific provisions the lender took on this securitisation exposure – and the off-balance sheet exposure amount, where applicable.
- (h) “*first loss facility*” means the first level of financial support provided by the originator or a third party to improve the creditworthiness of the securitisation notes issued by the SPE such that the provider of the facility bears the part or all of the risks associated with the assets held by the SPE;
- (i) “*implicit support*” means the protection arising when a lender provides support to a securitisation in excess of its predetermined contractual obligation;
- (j) “*interest-only strip (I/O)*” means an on-balance sheet asset of the originator that represents a valuation of cash flows related to future margin income;

*Provided that* if the interest-only strip is subordinated, it shall serve the purpose of credit enhancement and shall be referred to as *credit-enhancing interest-only strip*.

- (k) “*mortgage backed securities*” mean securitisation notes issued by the special purpose entity against underlying exposures that are all secured by commercial or residential real estate mortgages;
- (l) “*originator*” refers to a lender that transfers from its balance sheet a single asset or a pool of assets to an SPE as a part of a securitisation transaction and would include other entities of the consolidated group to which the lender belongs;

*Explanation:* Originator may not be the same lender which had initially sanctioned one or more of the exposures underlying a securitisation transaction since loans purchased from lenders can also be sold to SPEs for the purpose of securitisation.

- (m) “*overcollateralisation*” means any form of credit enhancement by virtue of which underlying exposures are posted in value which is higher than the value of the securitisation notes;
- (n) “*replenishment*” means the process of using the cash flows from the securitised assets to acquire more assets in the manner disclosed upfront in the prospectus of the scheme, which will continue for a pre-announced replenishment period, following which the securitisation structure switches to an amortising one;
- Provided that* assets purchased during the replenishment period shall be purchased from the same originator(s) of the assets underlying the securitisation notes already issued under the scheme.
- (o) “*residential mortgage backed securities (RMBS)*” mean securitisation notes issued by the special purpose entity against underlying exposures that are all secured by residential mortgages;
- (p) “*re-securitisation exposure*” means a securitisation exposure where at least one of the underlying exposures is a securitisation exposure;
- (q) “*standard assets*” for the purpose of these directions shall mean exposures which are not classified as non-performing asset;
- (r) “*second loss facility*” means a second level of financial support providing a second (or subsequent) tier of protection to the securitisation notes issued by the special purpose entity against potential losses not covered by the first loss facility, and is invoked only after the first loss facility has been drawn down and repudiated or exhausted, or the first loss provider is under insolvency or bankruptcy or liquidation;
- (s) “*securitisation*” means a structure where a pool of assets are transferred by an originator to a SPE and the cash flow from this pool of assets is used to service securitisation exposures of at least two different tranches reflecting different degrees of credit risk, where payments to the investors depend upon the performance of the specified underlying exposures, as opposed to being derived from an obligation of the originator;

*Provided that* the pool containing a single asset eligible to be securitised is also permitted.

*Provided further that* a securitisation structure may have tranches with different maturities.

- (t) “*securitisation exposures*” include but are not restricted to exposures to securitisation notes issued by the special purpose entity including asset-backed securities and mortgage-backed securities, credit enhancements, underwriting commitments, liquidity facilities, interest rate or currency swaps, credit derivatives and tranching cover;

*Explanation:* Reserve accounts, such as cash collateral accounts, which is earmarked to absorb credit losses arising from the securitisation and is recorded as an asset by the originator must also be treated as securitisation exposures.

- (u) “*securitisation notes*” mean securities issued by the special purpose entity as a part of securitisation;

- (v) “*senior tranche*” means a tranche which is effectively backed or secured by a first claim on the entire amount of the assets in the underlying securitised pool;

*Provided that* where all tranches above the first-loss piece are rated, the most highly rated position would be treated as a senior tranche.

*Provided that* when there are several tranches that share the same rating, only the most senior tranche in the cash flow waterfall would be treated as senior (unless the only difference among them is the effective maturity).

*Provided that* when the different ratings of several senior tranches only result from a difference in maturity, all of these tranches should be treated as senior tranches.

- (w) “*special purpose entity (SPE)*” means a company, trust or other entity organised for a specific purpose, the activities of which are limited to those appropriate to accomplish the purpose of the SPE, and the structure of which is intended to isolate the SPE from the credit risk of an originator;

*Explanation:* Any reference to SPE in these directions would also refer to the trust settled or declared by the SPE as a part of the process of securitisation.

- (x) “*subordinate tranche*” means any tranche that is junior to the senior tranche(s);
- (y) “*synthetic securitisation*” means a structure where credit risk of an underlying pool of exposures is transferred, in whole or in part, through the use of credit derivatives or credit guarantees that serve to hedge the credit risk of the portfolio which remains on the balance sheet of the lender;

*Explanation:* The above definition does not include the use of instruments permitted to lenders for hedging under the current regulatory instructions.

- (z) “*tranche*” means a contractually established segment of the credit risk associated with an exposure or a pool of exposures, where a position in the segment entails a risk of credit loss greater than or less than a position of the same amount in another segment, without taking account of credit protection provided by third parties directly to the holders of positions in the segment or in other segments;

*Explanation:* Securitisation notes issued by the SPE and credit enhancement facilities available shall be treated as tranches.

- (aa) “*tranche maturity*” means the tranche’s effective maturity in years, and is measured as prescribed in Section E of Chapter VI of these directions;

- (bb) “*tranche thickness*” means the measure calculated as detachment point (D) minus attachment point (A), where D and A are calculated in accordance with Section D of Chapter VI of these directions;

## **Chapter II: General requirements for securitisation**

### **A. Assets eligible for securitisation**

6. Lenders, including overseas branches of Indian banks, shall not undertake the securitisation activities or assume securitisation exposures as mentioned below:
  - a. Re-securitisation exposures;

- b. Structures in which short term instruments such as commercial paper, which are periodically rolled over, are issued against long term assets held by a SPE
- c. Synthetic securitisation; and
- d. Securitisation with the following assets as underlying:
  - i. revolving credit facilities as underlying – These involve underlying exposures where the borrower is permitted to vary the drawn amount and repayments within an agreed limit under a line of credit (e.g. credit card receivables and cash credit facilities);
  - ii. Restructured loans and advances which are in the specified period;
  - iii. Exposures to other lending institutions;
  - iv. Refinance exposures of AIFIs; and
  - v. Loans with bullet payments of both principal and interest as underlying;

*Provided that* loans with tenor up to 24 months extended to individuals for agricultural activities (as described in Chapter III of the [Reserve Bank of India \(Priority Sector Lending – Targets and Classification\) Directions, 2020](#)) where both interest and principal are due only on maturity and trade receivables with tenor up to 12 months discounted/purchased by lenders from their borrowers will be eligible for securitisation. However, only those loans/receivables will be eligible for securitisation where a borrower (in case of agricultural loans) / a drawee of the bill (in case of trade receivables) has fully repaid the entire amount of last two loans/receivables (one loan, in case of agricultural loans with maturity extending beyond one year) within 90 days of the due date. In case such assets are securitised, the investors in the securitisation notes issued against them should be able to verify the compliance of the underlying asset with the above requirement.

- 7. It is clarified that securitisation of exposures held by overseas branches of Indian banks shall not contravene any provision/s of extant legal/regulatory framework, including Foreign Exchange Management Act, 1999 and the rules or regulations thereunder.



8. Subject to the above, all other on-balance sheet exposures of originators, which are in the nature of loans and advances and are classified as Standard, are eligible as underlying assets in a securitisation transaction.
9. The originators of such exposures shall have satisfied the Minimum Holding period requirement as per Clause 39 of the [Reserve Bank of India \(Transfer of Loan Exposures\) Directions, 2021](#) including the proviso to the above Clause.<sup>1</sup>
10. The MHP will be applicable to individual loans in the underlying pool of securitised loans. MHP will not be applicable to loans referred to in the proviso to Clause 6.
11. The transactions undertaken in terms of these directions must not contravene the rights of underlying obligors. To ensure compliance with this stipulation, enabling clauses must be included in the contract between originator and servicing agent and all necessary consent from obligors (including from third parties), where necessary as per the respective contracts, should have been obtained.

#### **B. Minimum Retention Requirement (MRR)**

12. The MRR is primarily designed to ensure that the originators have a continuing stake in the performance of securitised assets so as to ensure that they carry out proper due diligence of loans to be securitised. The originators should adhere to the MRR as detailed below while securitising loans leading to issuance of securitisation notes other than residential mortgage backed securities:
  - a. For underlying loans with original maturity of 24 months or less, the MRR shall be 5% of the book value of the loans being securitised.

---

<sup>1</sup> The transferor can transfer loans only after a minimum holding period (MHP), as prescribed below, which is counted from the date of registration of the underlying security interest:

- a. Three months in case of loans with tenor of up to 2 years;
- b. Six months in case of loans with tenor of more than 2 years.

*Provided that* in case of loans where security does not exist or security cannot be registered, the MHP shall be calculated from the date of first repayment of the loan.

*Provided further that* in case of transfer of project loans, the MHP shall be calculated from the date of commencement of commercial operations of the project being financed.

*Provided further that* in case of loans acquired from other entities by a transferor, such loans cannot be transferred before completion of six months from the date on which the loan was taken into the books of the transferor.

- b. For underlying loans with original maturity of more than 24 months as well as loans with bullet repayments, as mentioned in proviso to Clause 6, the MRR shall be 10% of the book value of the loans being securitised.

13. In the case of residential mortgage backed securities, the MRR for the originator shall be 5% of the book value of the loans being securitised, irrespective of the original maturity.

14. The MRR shall be retained by the originator as follows:

- a. Upto 5 per cent of the book value of loans being securitised:
  - First loss facility, if available;
  - If first loss facility is not available, or where retention of the entire first loss facility amounts to less than 5 per cent, balance through retention of equity tranche;
  - Where retention of the entire first loss facility, if available, and equity tranche amounts to less than 5 per cent, balance *pari passu* in remaining tranches sold to investors.
- b. Greater than 5 per cent of the book value of loans being securitised:
  - First loss facility, or equity tranche or any other tranche sold to investors, in any combination thereof.

*Explanation:* It is clarified that first loss facility for this purpose shall not include overcollateralization available, if any.

15. Investment in the Interest Only Strip representing the Excess Interest Spread/ Future Margin Income, whether or not subordinated, will not be counted towards the MRR.

16. MRR should not be reduced either through hedging of credit risk or selling or encumbering the retained interest. MRR has to be maintained by the originating lender itself and not by any of its group entities. The form of MRR should not change during the life of securitisation. The MRR as a percentage of unamortised principal should be maintained on an ongoing basis except for reduction of retained exposure due to repayment or through the absorption of losses. Specifically, in cases of securitisations featuring replenishment period, MRR should be maintained

not only at the initiation of the securitisation but also at the end of the replenishment period.

17. For complying with the MRR under these guidelines lenders should ensure that proper documentation in accordance with law is made.

### **C. Origination Standards**

18. Underwriting standards for exposures securitised should not be less stringent than those applied to exposures retained on the balance sheet of the originator. Where underwriting standards change between the loan origination and till all the claims associated with securitised note are paid-off, the originator should disclose to the entities having securitisation exposures the timing and purpose of such changes.
19. In cases of securitisation of exposures purchased by originator from other lenders, the requirements applicable for underwriting standards shall apply to the standards of due diligence process adopted by the originator which may include verification of sufficiency and consistency of loan origination process of the lender from whom the exposures were purchased by the originator.

### **D. Payment priorities and observability**

20. To prevent investors being subjected to unexpected repayment profiles during the life of a securitisation, the priorities of payments for all liabilities in all circumstances should be clearly defined at the time of securitisation and appropriate legal comfort regarding their enforceability should be provided.
21. To help provide investors with full transparency over any changes to the cash flow waterfall, payment profile or priority of payments that might affect a securitisation, all triggers affecting the cash flow waterfall, payment profile or priority of payments of the securitisation should be clearly and fully disclosed in offer documents and in investor reports, with information in the investor report that clearly identifies the breach status in respect of expected cash flows to the note holders, the ability for the breach to be reversed and the consequences of the breach.
22. To ensure rights and interest of the securitisation note holders are protected, definitions, policies and remedies pertaining to the contours and caveats around the performance of the underlying loans must be suitably communicated. Further,

the rights and control of the securitisation note holders must be documented to account for all circumstances, including insolvency of all entities involved in securitisation, such as the originator SPE, etc. Full disclosure and supporting documentation regarding legal and financial risk factors must be made available to prospective and existing investors within a reasonable period of time, on an ongoing or on demand basis.

23. Securitisations featuring a replenishment period should include provisions for appropriate early amortisation events and/or triggers of termination of the replenishment period, including, notably:

- a. deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures;
- b. a failure to acquire sufficient new underlying exposures of similar credit quality; and
- c. the occurrence of an insolvency-related event with regard to the originator(s).

24. In the event actions of associated institutional stakeholders (originator, SPE, servicing agent, credit enhancement provider and others) that are not prohibited in this framework, result in material alteration of the risk profile of the securitisation notes at any point, the originator shall ensure that same is adequately informed and disclosed to investors, credit rating agencies and other service providers within a maximum time frame of 14 calendar days.

#### **E. Limit on Total Retained Exposures by Originators**

25. The total exposure of an originator to the securitisation exposures belonging to a particular securitisation structure or scheme should not exceed 20% of the total securitisation exposures created by such structure or scheme. However, the exposure of originators to credit enhancing interest only strip shall be excluded from this limit.

26. Credit exposure on account of interest rate swaps/currency swaps entered into with the SPE will be excluded from this limit.

27. The 20% limit on total retained exposures will not be deemed to have been breached if it is exceeded due to amortisation of securitisation notes issued.

## **F. Issuance and Listing**

28. The minimum ticket size for issuance of securitisation notes shall be Rs.1 crore.
29. Listing of securitisation notes, especially in respect of certain product class, such as RMBS, and/or generally above a certain threshold is recommended, though not mandatory. In any case, any offer of securitisation notes to fifty or more persons in an issuance would be required to be listed in terms of Securities and Exchange Board of India (Issue and Listing of Securitised Debt Instruments and Security Receipts) Regulations, 2008.

## **G. Conditions to be satisfied by the special purpose entity**

30. The SPE should meet the following criteria to enable the originator to apply the guidelines on capital adequacy and other aspects as prescribed in these directions with regard to the securitisation exposures assumed by it:
- a. Any transaction between the originator and the SPE should be strictly on arm's length basis.
  - b. The SPE and the trustee should not resemble in name or imply any connection or relationship with the originator of the assets in its title or name.
  - c. The originator should not have any ownership, proprietary or beneficial interest in the SPE except those specifically permitted under these directions. The originator should not hold any share capital in the SPE.
  - d. The originator should not have more than one representative, without veto power, on the board of the SPE provided the board has at least four members and independent directors are in majority.
  - e. If the SPE is set up as a trust, then:
    - i. The originator shall not exercise control, directly or indirectly, over the SPE and the trustees, and shall not settle the trust deed, if any.
    - ii. The originator shall not have any ownership, proprietary or beneficial interest in the trustees.
    - iii. The SPE should be bankruptcy remote and non-discretionary.

- iv. The trust deed, if any, should lay down, in detail, the functions to be performed by the trustee, their rights and obligations as well as the rights and obligations of the investors in relation to the securitised assets. The trust deed should not provide for any discretion to the trustee as to the manner of disposal and management or application of the trust property. In order to protect their interests, investors should be empowered in the trust deed to change the trustee at any point of time.
  - v. The trustee should only perform trusteeship functions in relation to the SPE and should not undertake any other business with the SPE.
  - vi. A copy of the trust deed, if any, and the accounts and statement of affairs of the SPE should be made available by the originator and/or other lenders, to the RBI, if required to do so.
- f. The originator shall not support the losses of the SPE except under the facilities explicitly permitted under these directions and shall also not be liable to meet the recurring expenses of the SPE.
- g. The SPE should make it clear to the investors in the securitisation notes issued by it that these securitisation notes are not insured and that they do not represent deposit liabilities of the originator, servicer or trustees.
31. In cases where the originator has purchased loans from another lender, the provisions of Clause 30 shall apply to the lender from whom the originator has purchased the exposures, as well.

*Provided that* for the purpose of sub-clause (d) of Clause 30, only one of either the originator or the lender from whom loans were purchased by the originator, may have the representative on the board of the SPE.

#### **H. Representations and Warranties**

32. An originator that sells assets to SPE may make representations and warranties concerning those assets. The originator will be required to hold capital against such representations and warranties if any of the following conditions are not satisfied:

- a. Any representation or warranty is provided only by way of a formal written agreement.
- b. The originator undertakes appropriate due diligence before providing or accepting any representation or warranty.
- c. The representation or warranty refers to an existing state of facts that is capable of being verified by the originator at the time the assets are sold.
- d. The representation or warranty is not open-ended and, in particular, does not relate to the future creditworthiness of the assets, the performance of the SPE and/or the securitisation notes the SPE issues.
- e. The exercise of a representation or warranty, requiring an originator to replace assets (or any parts of them) sold to a SPE, must be:
  - i. undertaken within 120 days of the transfer of assets to the SPE; and
  - ii. conducted on the same terms and conditions as the original sale.
- f. An originator that is required to pay damages for breach of representation or warranty can do so provided the agreement to pay damages meets the following conditions:
  - i. the onus of proof for breach of representation or warranty remains at all times with the party so alleging;
  - ii. the party alleging the breach serves a written Notice of Claim, specifying the basis for the claim; and
  - iii. damages are limited to losses directly incurred as a result of the breach.
- g. An originator should notify RBI (Department of Supervision) of all instances where it has agreed to replace assets sold to SPE or pay damages arising out of any representation or warranty.

#### **I. Accounting provisions**

- 33. Originators shall sell assets to SPE only on cash basis and the sale consideration should be received not later than the transfer of the asset to the SPE. Further, there should not be gap of more than 30 days between transfer of the assets and the issuance of securitisation notes.
- 34. NBFCs which are required to comply with Indian Accounting Standards (IndAS) shall continue to be guided by the Standards and the ICAI Advisories with respect to accounting for securitisation exposures and transactions.

35. In case of other lenders, any loss, profit or premium realised at the time of the sale should be accounted accordingly and reflected in the Profit & Loss account for the accounting period during which the sale is completed.
36. For such lenders specified at Clause 35, the following treatment shall be applicable in the case of unrealised gains arising out of sale of underlying assets to the SPE such as that associated with expected future margin income (represented by interest-only strips or otherwise):
- a. The unrealised gains should not be recognised in Profit and Loss account; instead the lenders shall hold the unrealised profit under an accounting head styled as "*Unrealised Gain on Loan Transfer Transactions*".
  - b. The profit may be recognised in Profit and Loss Account only when such unrealised gains associated with expected future margin income is redeemed in cash. However, if the unrealised gains associated with expected future margin income is credit enhancing (for example, in the form of credit enhancing interest-only strip), the balance in this account may be treated as a provision against potential losses incurred.
  - c. In the case of amortising credit-enhancing interest-only strip, a lender would periodically receive in cash, only the amount which is left after absorbing losses, if any, supported by the credit-enhancing interest-only strip. On receipt, this amount may be credited to Profit and Loss account and the amount equivalent to the amortisation due may be written-off against the "*Unrealised Gain on Loan Transfer Transactions*" account bringing down the book value of the credit-enhancing interest-only strip in the lender's books.
  - d. In the case of a non-amortising credit-enhancing interest-only strip, as and when the lender receives intimation of charging-off of losses by the SPE against the credit-enhancing interest-only strip, it may write-off equivalent amount against "*Unrealised Gain on Loan Transfer Transactions*" account and bring down the book value of the credit-enhancing interest-only strip in the lender's books. The amount received in final redemption value of the credit-enhancing interest-only strip received in cash may be taken to Profit and Loss account.



### **Chapter III: Simple, transparent and comparable (STC) securitisations**

37. Only securitisations that additionally satisfy all the criteria laid out in **Annex 1** of these directions fall within the scope of the STC framework. The above criteria are based on the prescriptions of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision. Exposures to securitisations that are STC-compliant can be subject to the alternative capital treatment as determined by Clauses 108 to 110.
38. The originator must disclose to investors all necessary information at the transaction level to allow investors to determine whether the securitisation is STC-compliant. Based on the information provided by the originator, the investor must make its own assessment of the securitisation's STC compliance status before applying the alternative capital treatment.
39. For securitisation exposures retained by the originator, where significant credit risk transfer in terms of the requirements of the Clauses 81 and 82 have been achieved by the originator, the determination of compliance with STC requirements shall be made by the originator.
40. STC criteria need to be met at all times. Checking the compliance with some of the criteria might only be necessary at origination (or at the time of initiating the exposure, in case of guarantees or liquidity facilities) of an STC securitisation. Notwithstanding, investors and holders of the securitisation positions are expected to take into account developments that may invalidate the previous compliance assessment, for example deficiencies in the frequency and content of the investor reports, in the alignment of interest, or changes in the transaction documentation at variance with relevant STC criteria.
41. In cases where the criteria refer to underlying, and the pool is dynamic, the compliance with the criteria will be subject to dynamic checks every time that assets are added to the pool. The criteria for the addition of assets shall be clearly described in relevant transaction documents.
42. Investors should consider whether the originator, servicer and other parties with a fiduciary responsibility to the securitisation note holders have an established performance history for substantially similar credit claims or receivables to those being securitised and for an appropriately long period of time.

43. RBI would verify the preferential regulatory capital treatment assignments made by the lenders, including the originator, as part of the supervisory review. If it is discovered that a transaction does not satisfy the STC criteria for regulatory capital purposes, RBI would take appropriate supervisory action including *inter alia* additional capital under the Pillar 2 framework, and/or by denying preferential regulatory capital treatment for that specific transaction and potentially others as well.

#### **Chapter IV: Provision of facilities supporting securitisation structures**

##### **A. General conditions**

44. Lenders may provide supporting facilities such as credit enhancement facilities, liquidity facilities, underwriting facilities and servicing facilities. Apart from lenders, since such facilities may also be provided by entities that are not lenders, entities providing such facilities are generally referred to in these directions as “facility providers”. Such facility provider(s) must be regulated by at least one financial sector regulator.

45. The facilities, as above, provided by facility providers should satisfy the following conditions, in addition to the specific conditions applicable to each facility as prescribed in the remaining sections of this Chapter:

- a. Provision of the facility should be structured in a manner to keep it distinct from other facilities and documented separately from any other facility provided by the facility provider. The nature, purpose, extent of the facility and all required standards of performance should be clearly specified in a written agreement to be executed at the time of originating the transaction and disclosed in the offer document.
- b. The facility is provided on an 'arm's length basis' on market terms and conditions, and subjected to the facility provider's normal credit approval and review process.
- c. Payment of any fee or other income for the facility is not subordinated or subject to deferral or waiver.
- d. The facility is limited to a specified amount and duration.

- e. The duration of the facility is limited to the earlier of the dates on which:
  - i. all claims connected with the securitisation notes issued by the SPE are paid out; or
  - ii. the facility provider's obligations in relation to such facility are otherwise terminated.
- f. There should not be any recourse to the facility provider beyond the fixed contractual obligations. In particular, the facility provider should not bear any recurring expenses of the securitisation.
- g. The facility provider has obtained legal opinion that the terms of agreement protect it from any liability to the investors in the securitisation or to the SPE / trustee, except in relation to its contractual obligations pursuant to the agreement governing provision of the facility.
- h. The SPE and/or investors in the securitisation notes issued by the SPE have the clear right to select an alternative party to provide the facility subject to compliance of instructions in this direction.

## **B. Credit enhancement facilities**

46. Credit enhancement is the process of enhancing credit profile of a structured financial transaction through provision of additional security/financial support, for covering losses on securitised assets in adverse conditions. The enhancements can be broadly divided into two types viz. internal credit enhancement and external credit enhancement. A credit enhancement which, for the investors, creates exposure to entities other than the underlying borrowers is called the external credit enhancement. For instance, cash collaterals and first/second loss guarantees are external forms of credit enhancements. Investment in subordinated tranches, over-collateralisation, excess spreads, credit enhancing interest-only strips are internal forms of credit enhancements.

47. Credit enhancement facilities include all arrangements that could result in a facility provider absorbing losses of the investors in a securitisation transaction. Such facilities may be provided by both originators and third parties. The facility provider providing credit enhancement facilities should ensure that the following conditions

are fulfilled failing which credit enhancement provider will be required to hold capital equal to the full value of the securitised assets:

- a. All conditions specified in Clause 44-45.
- b. Credit enhancement facility should be provided only at the initiation of the securitisation transaction.
- c. The amount of credit enhancement extended at the initiation of the securitisation transaction should be available to the SPE during the entire life of the securitisation notes in respect of which such credit enhancement is provided, subject to any resets of such credit enhancement specifically permitted under these directions.
- d. Any utilization / draw down of the credit enhancement should be immediately written-off by debit to the profit and loss account by facility provider.

### **C. Reset of credit enhancements**

48. Resets can be applied to external forms of credit enhancements, which is in first or second loss position. The original amount of external credit enhancements provided at the time of initiation of securitisation transaction can be reset by the credit enhancement provider subject to the conditions enumerated below.

- a. At the time of reset, all the outstanding tranches of securitisation notes should be re-rated (other than equity tranches). The first reset of credit enhancement will not be permitted if the rating of any of the tranches has deteriorated vis-a-vis the original rating of these securitisation positions. Subsequent resets would not be permitted if the rating of any of the tranches has deteriorated vis-à-vis the rating at the time of previous reset.
- b. If reset is permissible in terms of (a) above, the amount of credit enhancement required for retaining the original or current outstanding rating, whichever is higher should be determined by the concerned rating agency for the first reset. Similarly, for subsequent resets, the amount of credit enhancement required for retaining the higher of the rating at the time of previous reset and current outstanding rating should be determined by the concerned rating agency.

*Provided that* only the rating agency, subject to its continued rating operations, which had rated the securitisation transaction initially shall re-rate it for the purpose of reset of credit enhancement. Enabling clauses and commercial terms must be made part of the initial contract to ensure the implementation of this regulatory advisory failing which credit enhancement reset cannot be executed.

- c. The reset of credit enhancement would be subject to the consent of the investors in the securitisation notes. The consent may either be explicitly obtained during every reset or the transactions documents may contain general clauses providing implicit consent of the investors for rest of credit enhancement.
  - d. The reset of credit enhancement should be provided for in the contractual terms of the transaction and the initial rating of the transaction should take into account the likelihood of resets. Such contractual clause should include clearly defined portfolio-level delinquency triggers, which, if met, should result in the credit enhancement resets not available or possible.
  - e. In case such a contractual clause was not available originally, reset of credit enhancement may be carried out subject to the consent of all investors of outstanding securitisation notes.
  - f. In structures where external credit enhancements exist providing first loss credit enhancement (FLCE) and second loss credit enhancement (SLCE), the reset may be carried out simultaneously between FLCE and SLCE in a proportion such that the reset maintains at least the outstanding rating [as envisaged in (b) above] of SLCE.
  - g. Reset of equity tranche is not allowed as it would tantamount to a reset of an internal credit enhancement.
49. For all securitisations other than residential mortgage backed-securitisations, at the time of first reset, at least 50% of the total principal amount assigned at the time of initiation of the securitisation transaction must have been amortised. The subsequent resets may be carried out after the pool principal has amortised in

steps of 10%, i.e., up to at least 60%, 70% and 80% of the original level. However, a minimum gap of six months should be maintained between successive resets.

50. For residential mortgage-backed securitisations, at the time of first reset, at least 25% of the total principal amount assigned at the time of initiation of the securitisation transaction must have been amortised. The subsequent resets may be carried out at every 10% (of the original level) further amortisation of the pool principal. A minimum gap of six months should be maintained between successive resets.

51. The excess credit enhancement can be released subject to the following conditions:

- a. The release of credit enhancement would be subject to a reserve floor as a percentage of the initial credit enhancement provided at the time of transaction, i.e., at any time, the level of credit enhancement available, following any reset shall not drop below the prescribed reserve floor. Thus, even if the required level of credit enhancement to maintain the ratings, as assessed by the credit rating agency during a reset, is lower than the reserve floor, the excess amount available for reset shall be computed as the difference between the available credit enhancement and the reserve floor.
- b. The stipulation of the floor may be based on the transaction structure, depending on asset class, the track record of the originator and other pool specific factors such as concentration of long term contracts in a pool, and in no case should be less than:
  - i. 30% of the initial credit enhancement for securitisations other than residential mortgage backed-securitisations; and
  - ii. 20% of the initial credit enhancement for residential mortgage backed-securitisations.
- c. A maximum of 60% of the credit enhancement in excess of that required to retain the credit rating of all the tranches as referred to in sub-clause (b) of Clause 48 assigned to them can be considered for release, at any point of time subject to fulfilling the reserve floor indicated at (a) above.

- d. The reset should not lead to exposures retained by originators along with credit enhancements offered by them falling below the level of MRR prescribed in Section B of Chapter II of these directions.

52. In order to facilitate a common understanding amongst stakeholders and to allow the market to understand the linkage between good pool performance and CE reset, credit rating agencies will disseminate information pertaining to CE reset via press release and would confirm that ratings will not be adversely affected by such reset.

#### **D. Liquidity facilities**

53. A liquidity facility is provided to help smoothen the timing differences faced by the SPE between the receipt of cash flows from the underlying assets and the payments to be made to investors. A liquidity facility should meet all of the following conditions to guard against the possibility of the facility functioning as a form of credit enhancement and/ or credit support:

- a. All conditions specified in Clauses 44-45.
- b. The documentation for the facility must clearly define the circumstances under which the facility may or may not be drawn on.
- c. The facility should be capable of being drawn only where there is a sufficient level of non-defaulted assets to cover drawings, or the full amount of assets that may turn non-performing are covered by a substantial credit enhancement.
- d. The facility shall not be drawn for the purpose of:
  - i. providing credit enhancement;
  - ii. covering losses of the SPE;
  - iii. serving as a permanent revolving funding, i.e., liquidity support should be an exception rather than the norm; and
  - iv. covering any losses incurred in the underlying pool of exposures prior to a draw down.
- e. The liquidity facility should not be available for the following purposes:
  - i. meeting recurring expenses of securitisation;

- ii. funding acquisition of additional assets by the SPE;
  - iii. funding the final scheduled repayment of investors; and
  - iv. funding breaches of warranties.
- f. Facility should be provided to SPE and not directly to the investors.
  - g. When the liquidity facility has been drawn the facility provider shall have a priority of claim over the future cash flows from the underlying assets, and thus will be senior to the senior tranche.
  - h. The originator must not be liable to meet any shortfall in liquidity support provided by any independent third party.

54. If any of the conditions are not satisfied, a liquidity facility will be regarded as serving the economic purpose of credit enhancement. In such cases, the liquidity facility provided by a third party shall be treated as a credit enhancement.

55. Since the liquidity facility is meant to smoothen temporary cash flow mismatches, the facility will remain drawn only for short periods, preferably not used for two consecutive repayments to investors. If the drawings under the facility are outstanding for more than 90 days it should be classified as NPA and fully provided for.

#### **E. Underwriting facilities**

56. An originator or a third-party service provider may act as an underwriter for the issue of securitisation notes by SPE and treat the facility as an underwriting facility for capital adequacy purposes subject to the following conditions:

- a. All conditions specified in Clauses 44-45 are satisfied.
- b. The underwriting is exercisable only when the SPE cannot issue securitisation notes into the market at a price equal to or above the benchmark predetermined in the underwriting agreement.
- c. The facility provider has the ability to withhold payment and to terminate the facility, if necessary, upon the occurrence of specified events (e.g. material adverse changes or defaults on assets above a specified level); and



57. In case any of the above conditions are not satisfied, the facility will be considered as a credit enhancement.

58. An originator may underwrite only investment grade senior notes issued by the SPE. The holdings of securitisation notes devolved to the originator through underwriting would not attract provisions of Clause 25 provided they are sold to unrelated third parties within three-month period following the acquisition. For the period between acquisition and upto three months, the originator will maintain capital equal to as if the exposures were held on the books of the originator.

#### **F. Servicing Facilities**

59. A servicing facility provider administers or services the securitised assets. Hence, it should not have any obligation to support any losses incurred by the SPE, except to the extent contractually provided in the servicing facility agreement indemnifying the SPE from defaults, breaches, negligence or fraud by the servicing facility provider, and should be able to demonstrate this to the investors in the securitisation exposures. A facility provider performing the role of a service provider for a proprietary or a third-party securitisation transaction should ensure that the following conditions are fulfilled:

- a. All conditions specified in Clauses 44-45.
- b. The service provider should be under no obligation to remit funds to the SPE or investors until it has received funds generated from the underlying assets except where it is also the provider of an eligible liquidity facility.
- c. The service provider shall hold in trust, on behalf of the investors, the cash flows arising from the underlying and should avoid co-mingling of these cash flows with their own cash flows.
- d. The service provider should remit all the cashflows arising from the underlying loans forming part of the securitised pools to the SPE as per the agreed mechanism, irrespective of any retained interest in the securitisation transaction in other capacities.

60. Where any of the above conditions are not met, the service provider may be deemed as providing liquidity facility to the SPE or investors and treated accordingly for capital adequacy purpose.

## **Chapter V: Requirements to be met by lenders who are investors in securitisation exposures**

### **A. Due Diligence Requirements**

61. Lenders can invest in securitised notes only if the originator has explicitly disclosed to the purchasing lenders that it has adhered to the MRR and MHP requirements and will adhere to MRR on an ongoing basis, as applicable and advised in this direction.

62. For the overseas branches of Indian lenders, they should not invest or assume exposure to securitisation positions in other jurisdictions which have not laid down any MRR. Regarding MHP, the overseas branches of Indian lenders operating in jurisdictions which do not have regulations related to MHP, may comply with regulations prescribed in those jurisdictions.

63. Further, only if the originator has explicitly disclosed that it has complied with the applicable provisions of the [Reserve Bank of India \(Know Your Customer \(KYC\)\) Directions, 2016](#) (as amended from time to time), lenders may invest in such securitised notes.

64. Lenders must have a comprehensive understanding of the risk characteristics of its individual securitisation exposures as well as the risk characteristics of the pools underlying its securitisation exposures, at all times. Lenders also have to demonstrate that for making such an assessment they have implemented formal policies and procedures as appropriate.

65. Lenders should be able to access performance information on the underlying pools on an ongoing basis. Such information may include, as appropriate, but not limited to the following: the average credit quality through average credit scores or similar aggregates of creditworthiness, extent of diversification of the pool of loans, volatility of the market values of the collaterals supporting the loans, cyclicity of

the economic activities in which the underlying borrowers are engaged, exposure type, prepayment rates, property types, occupancy, etc.

66. Lenders should have a thorough understanding of all structural features of a securitisation transaction that would materially impact the performance of their exposures to the transaction. Such information may include, as appropriate, but not limited to the following: seniority of the tranche, thickness of the subordinate tranches, its sensitivity to prepayment risk and credit enhancement resets, structure of repayment waterfalls, waterfall related triggers, the position of the tranche in sequential repayment of tranches, liquidity enhancements, availability of credit enhancements in the case of liquidity facilities, deal-specific definition of default, etc.

67. Apart from the above, lenders should take note of, analyse and record the following while taking the decision regarding a securitisation exposure:

- a. the reputation of the originators in terms of observance of credit appraisal and credit monitoring standards, due diligence standards in case the securitised assets had been purchased, adherence to minimum retention and minimum holding standards in earlier securitisations, and fairness in selecting exposures for securitisation;
- b. loss experience in earlier securitisations of the originators in the relevant exposure classes underlying the securitisation position, incidence of any frauds committed by the underlying borrowers, truthfulness of the representations and warranties made by the originator;
- c. the statements and disclosures made by the originators, or their agents or advisors, about their due diligence on the securitised exposures and, where applicable, on the quality of the collateral supporting the securitised exposures; and
- d. where applicable, the methodologies and concepts on which the valuation of collateral supporting the securitised exposures is based and the policies adopted by the originator to ensure the independence of the valuer.

## **B. Stress testing**

68. Lenders should regularly perform their own stress tests appropriate to their securitisation positions. For this purpose, various factors which may be considered

include, but are not limited to, rise in default rates in the underlying portfolios in a situation of economic downturn, rise in pre-payment rates due to fall in rate of interest or rise in income levels of the borrowers leading to early redemption of exposures, fall in rating of the credit enhancers resulting in fall in market value of securitisation notes and drying of liquidity of the securitisation notes resulting in higher prudent valuation adjustments. Based on the results of stress tests, additional capital shall be held to support any higher risk, if required.

### **C. Credit monitoring and valuation**

69. Lenders shall have Board approved policies detailing valuation of the securitisation notes in which they have invested. The policies should *inter alia* describe the models used for valuation, the assumptions underpinning the models, the policy regarding back-testing and stress testing the valuation model and its parameters etc. The Board approved valuation policies and the use of such policies in assigning valuation to the investment positions in securitisation notes by lenders will be a part of supervisory review.
70. The counterparty for the investor in the securitisation notes would not be the SPE but the underlying assets in respect of which the cash flows are expected from the obligors. The securitisation exposures of lenders will be subject to the requirements of Paragraphs 8.3 to 8.10 of the [circular DBR.No.BP.BC.43/21.01.003/2018-19 dated June 3, 2019](#) on “Large Exposures Framework” (as amended from time to time).
71. Lenders need to monitor on an ongoing basis and in a timely manner, performance information on the exposures underlying their securitisation positions and take appropriate action, if any, required. Action may include modification to exposure ceilings to certain type of asset class underlying securitisation, modification to ceilings applicable to originators etc.
72. For this purpose, lenders should establish formal procedures appropriate to their banking book and trading book and commensurate with the risk profile of their exposures in securitised positions as stipulated in Clauses 65 to 67. Where relevant, this shall include the exposure type, the percentage of loans more than 30, 60 and 90 days past due, default rates, prepayment rates, loans in foreclosure, collateral type and occupancy and frequency distribution of credit scores or other

measures of credit worthiness across underlying exposures, industry and geographical diversification, frequency distribution of loan to value ratios with bandwidths that facilitate adequate sensitivity analysis. Lenders may inter alia make use of the disclosures made by the originators in the form given in Annex 2 to monitor the securitisation exposures.

## **Chapter VI: Capital requirements for securitisation exposures**

### **A. General Conditions**

73. Lenders must maintain capital against all securitisation exposure amounts, including those arising from the provision of credit risk mitigants to a securitisation transaction, investments in asset-backed or mortgage-backed securities, retention of a subordinated tranche, and extension of a liquidity facility or credit enhancement. For the purpose of capital computation, whenever securitisation exposures are a subject of repurchase agreements and repurchased by a lender, the exposure must be treated as retained exposure and not a fresh exposure. Lenders must deduct from Common Equity Tier 1 or net owned funds any increase in equity capital resulting from a securitisation transaction, either realised at the time of sale of underlying assets to the SPE, or unrealised gains on sale of underlying assets such as that associated with expected future margin income, where recognised upfront, till the maturity of such assets.
74. For the purpose of calculating exposure amount, a lender shall measure the exposure amount of its off-balance exposure as follows:
- a. for credit risk mitigants sold or purchased by banks (including small finance banks), the treatment set out in Paragraph 7 of the [“Master Circular – Basel III Capital Regulations” dated July 1, 2015](#) (as amended from time to time) will apply;
  - b. for credit protections, including off-balance sheet exposures, sold or purchased by NBFCs, the treatments set out in the relevant Master Directions (as amended from time to time) will apply;
  - c. for facilities that are not eligible credit risk mitigants, use a credit conversion factor (CCF) of 100%; and

- d. for derivatives contracts other than credit risk derivatives contracts, such as interest rate or currency swaps sold or purchased by the lenders, to the extent not covered by sub-clauses (a) to (c) above, the measurement approach set out in the [circular dated November 10, 2016](#) on “Guidelines for computing exposure for counterparty credit risk arising from derivative transactions” will apply.

75. For the purposes of calculating capital requirements, a lender’s exposure A overlaps another exposure B if in all circumstances the lender will preclude any loss for the lender on exposure B by fulfilling its obligations with respect to exposure A. For example, if a lender provides full credit support to some securitisation notes and holds a portion of these securitisation notes, its full credit support obligation precludes any loss from its exposure to the securitisation notes. If a lender can verify that fulfilling its obligations with respect to exposure A will preclude a loss from its exposure to B under any circumstance, the lender does not need to calculate risk-weighted assets for its exposure B.

76. To arrive at an overlap, a lender may, for the purposes of calculating capital requirements, split or expand its exposures, i.e., splitting exposures into portions that overlap with another exposure held by the lender and other portions that do not overlap; and expanding exposures by assuming for capital purposes that obligations with respect to one of the overlapping exposures are larger than those established contractually. For example, a liquidity facility may not be contractually required to cover defaulted assets in certain circumstances. For capital purposes, such a situation would not be regarded as an overlap to the securitisation notes issued by that securitisation. However, the lender may calculate risk-weighted assets for the liquidity facility as if it were expanded (either in order to cover defaulted assets or in terms of trigger events) to preclude all losses on the securitisation notes. In such a case, the lender would only need to calculate capital requirements on the liquidity facility.

77. Overlap could also be recognised between relevant capital charges for exposures in the trading book and capital charges for exposures in the banking book, provided that the lender is able to calculate and compare the capital charges for the relevant exposures.

78. Liquidity facilities provided by lenders that satisfy the requirements of Section D of Chapter IV of these directions shall attract risk weights as per the SEC-ERBA approach prescribed in Section H of this Chapter.
79. Liquidity facilities provided by lenders that do not satisfy the requirements of Section D of Chapter IV of these directions shall maintain capital charge equal to the actual exposure, after applying a credit conversion factor of 100% for the undrawn portion.
80. All securitisation exposures, which are not covered by these directions, or which do not satisfy the conditions prescribed in these directions (including the exposures prohibited as per Clause 6), or where originator is not a lender referred to in Clause 3, or for which prudential treatment is not advised explicitly in these directions, lenders shall keep capital charge equal to the actual exposure and will be subjected to supervisory scrutiny and suitable action.

**B. Derecognition of transferred assets for the purpose of capital adequacy**

81. An originator has to maintain capital against the exposures transferred to a SPE, which then forms the underlying for securitisation notes issued by the SPE, i.e., the exposures transferred to a special purpose entity must be included in the calculation of risk-weighted assets of the originator and the consideration received from SPE must be recognised as an advance, unless at least the following conditions are satisfied:
- a. The originator does not maintain direct or indirect control over the transferred exposures. For this purpose, the originator is deemed to have maintained effective control over the transferred credit risk exposures if it:
    - (i) is able to repurchase from the SPE the previously transferred exposures in order to realise their benefits; or
    - (ii) is obligated, contractually or otherwise, to retain the risk of the transferred exposures.

*Explanation:* For the purpose of this sub-clause, retention of servicing rights in respect of the transferred exposures would not constitute control by the originator over the transferred exposures.
  - b. The originator should not be able to repurchase the transferred exposures unless it is done through invocation of a clean-up call option.

*Provided that* the purchase on invocation of clean-up calls is conducted at arm's length, on market terms and conditions (including price/fee) and is subject to the originator's normal credit approval and review processes;

- c. The transferred exposures are legally isolated from the originator in such a way that the exposures are put beyond the reach of the originator or its creditors, even in bankruptcy (specially IBC) or administration.
- d. The securitisation notes issued by the SPE are not obligations of the originator. Thus, the investors who purchase the securitisation notes have a claim only to the underlying exposures.
- e. The holders of the securitisation notes issued by the SPE against the transferred exposures have the right to pledge or trade them without any restriction, unless the restriction is imposed by a statutory or regulatory risk retention requirement.
- f. The exercise of the clean-up calls, if any, should not be mandatory on the originator, in form or substance and must be at the discretion of the originator.
- g. The clean-up call options, if any, should not be structured to avoid allocating losses to credit enhancements or positions held by investors or otherwise structured to provide credit enhancements.

*Provided that* if a clean-up call, when exercised, is found to serve as a credit enhancement (for example, to purchase delinquent underlying exposures), the exercise of the clean-up call should be considered a form of implicit support provided by the originator.

- h. The threshold at which clean-up calls become exercisable shall not be more than 10% of the original value of the underlying exposures or securitisation notes.
- i. The securitisation does not contain clauses that require the originator to replace or replenish the underlying exposures to improve the credit quality



of the pool in the event of deterioration in the underlying credit quality, except under conditions specifically permitted in these Directions.

- j. If the originator provides credit enhancement or first loss facility, the securitisation structure shall not allow for increase in the above positions after inception.
- k. The securitisation does not contain clauses that increase the yield payable to parties other than the originator such as investors and third-party providers of credit enhancements, in response to a deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying pool.

*Explanation 1:* This restriction stipulates that deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying pool should be covered through invocation of first loss or second loss facilities, if available, and the protection available due to the seniority of the securitisation exposures, and not by increase in payments to the investors.

*Explanation 2:* This restriction shall not apply to increase in yields to investors on account of movements in reference rates to which the underlying loans may be benchmarked.

- l. There must be no termination options or triggers to the securitisation exposures except eligible clean-up call options or termination provisions for specific changes in tax and regulation (regulatory or tax call options) or early amortisation provisions.

*Provided that* early amortisation provisions do not subordinate the originator's senior or *pari passu* interest in the underlying to the interest of other investors, nor subordinate the originator's subordinated interest to an even greater degree relative to the interest of other parties, nor in other ways increase the exposure of the originator to the losses associated with the underlying exposures shall be treated as in violation of the provisions of this clause.

- 82. The originator should obtain legal opinion that the transfer of exposures to a special purpose entity satisfies the above conditions if the exposures are to be excluded from the calculation of risk weighted assets.

### **C. Approaches for computation of risk weighted assets**

83. Lenders shall apply Securitisation External Ratings Based approach (SEC-ERBA) for calculation of risk weighted assets for credit risk of securitisation exposures. For unrated securitisation exposures, lender shall maintain capital charge equal to the actual exposure.
84. The capital charges computed based on the prescribed risk weights are subject to a cap of the actual exposure in respect of which capital adequacy is being computed such that the capital requirement for any securitisation position does not exceed the securitisation exposure amount.
85. However, the originator may apply a maximum capital requirement for the securitisation exposures it holds, upto the permissible aggregate threshold, equal to the capital requirement that would have been assessed against the entire underlying loan exposures had they not been securitised.
86. When a lender provides implicit support to a securitisation, it must, at a minimum, hold capital against all of the underlying exposures associated with the securitisation transaction as if they had not been securitised. Additionally, lenders would not be permitted to recognise in regulatory capital any gain on sale.

### **D. Determination of attachment point (A) and detachment point (D)**

87. The attachment point (A) represents the threshold at which losses within the underlying pool would first be allocated to the relevant securitisation exposure. The attachment point (A) shall be expressed as a decimal value between zero and one and shall be equal to the greater of zero and the ratio of the outstanding balance of the pool of underlying exposures in the securitisation minus the outstanding balance of all tranches that rank senior or *pari passu* to the tranche containing the relevant securitisation position including the exposure itself to the outstanding balance of all the underlying exposures in the securitisation.
88. The detachment point (D) represents the threshold at which losses within the underlying pool result in a total loss of principal for the tranche in which a relevant securitisation exposure resides. The detachment point (D) shall be expressed as a decimal value between zero and one and shall be equal to the greater of zero and the ratio of the outstanding balance of the pool of underlying exposures in the

securitisation minus the outstanding balance of all tranches that rank senior to the tranche containing the relevant securitisation position to the outstanding balance of all the underlying exposures in the securitisation.

89. For the calculation of A and D, over-collateralisation and funded reserve accounts must be recognised as tranches; and the assets forming these reserve accounts must be recognised as underlying assets. Only the loss-absorbing part of the funded reserve accounts that provide credit enhancement can be recognised as tranches and underlying assets.

90. Unfunded reserve accounts, such as those to be funded from future receipts from the underlying exposures (eg unrealised excess spread) and assets that do not provide credit enhancement related to these instruments must not be included in the above calculation of A and D.

91. Lenders should take into consideration the economic substance of the transaction rather than the form and apply these definitions conservatively in the light of the structure.

#### **E. Determination of tranche maturity**

92. For risk based capital purposes, tranche maturity ( $M_T$ ) can be measured at the lender's discretion in either of the following manners:

- a. As the rupee weighted-average maturity of the contractual cash flows of the tranche, as expressed below, where  $CF_t$  denotes the cash flows (principal, interest payments and fees) contractually payable by the borrower in period  $t$ . The contractual payments must be unconditional and must not be dependent on the actual performance of the securitised assets. If such unconditional contractual payment dates are not available, the final legal maturity shall be used.

$$M_T = \frac{\sum_t tCF_t}{\sum_t CF_t}$$

- b. On the basis of final legal maturity of the tranche, where  $M_L$  is the final legal maturity of the tranche. ( $M_T$  and  $M_L$  are in years)

$$M_T = 1 + 0.8(M_L - 1)$$

93. In all cases,  $M_T$  will have a floor of one year and a cap of five years. The cap of five years is only for the capital computation purposes and is not applicable for the actual permissible maturity for tranches.
94. When determining the maturity of a securitisation exposure, lenders should take into account the maximum period of time they are exposed to potential losses from the securitised assets. In cases where a lender provides a commitment, the lender should calculate the maturity of the securitisation exposure resulting from this commitment as the sum of the contractual maturity of the commitment and the longest maturity of the asset(s) to which the lender would be exposed after a draw has occurred.
95. For credit protection instruments that are only exposed to losses that occur up to the maturity of that instrument, a lender would be allowed to apply the contractual maturity of the instrument and would not have to look through to the protected position.

**F. Treatment by banks (including small finance banks) of credit risk mitigation for securitisation exposures**

96. Banks may recognise credit protection purchased on a securitisation exposure when calculating capital requirements subject to the following:
- a. collateral recognition is limited to that permitted under Paragraph 7.3.5 of the [“Master Circular – Basel III Capital Regulations” dated July 1, 2015](#) (as amended from time to time). Eligible Collateral pledged by SPEs may be recognised;
  - b. credit protection provided by the entities listed in Paragraph 7.5.6 of the [“Master Circular – Basel III Capital Regulations” dated July 1, 2015](#) (as amended from time to time) may be recognised. SPEs cannot be recognised as eligible guarantors; and
  - c. where guarantees fulfil the minimum operational conditions as specified in Paragraph 7.5 of the [“Master Circular – Basel III Capital Regulations” dated July 1, 2015](#) (as amended from time to time), lenders can take account of such credit protection in calculating capital requirements for securitisation exposures.

97. When a bank provides full (or pro rata) credit protection to a securitisation exposure, it must calculate its capital requirements as if it directly holds the portion of the securitisation exposure on which it has provided credit protection (in accordance with the definition of tranche maturity).
98. Provided that the conditions set out in Clause 96 are met, the bank buying full (or pro rata) credit protection may recognise the credit risk mitigation on the securitisation exposure in accordance with the CRM framework.
99. Under all approaches, a lower-priority sub-tranche must be treated as a non-senior securitisation exposure even if the original securitisation exposure prior to protection qualifies as senior tranche as defined in sub-clause (v) of Clause 5.
100. A maturity mismatch exists when the residual maturity of a hedge is less than that of the underlying exposure. When protection is bought on a securitisation exposure(s), for the purpose of setting regulatory capital against a maturity mismatch, the capital requirement will be determined in accordance with Paragraph 7.6 of the [“Master Circular – Basel III Capital Regulations” dated July 1, 2015](#) (as amended from time to time). When the exposures being hedged have different maturities, the longest maturity must be used.

#### **G. Securitisation – External Ratings Based Approach (SEC-ERBA)**

101. For securitisation exposures that are externally rated, risk-weighted assets under the securitisation external ratings-based approach (SEC-ERBA) will be determined by multiplying securitisation exposure amounts by the appropriate risk weights as determined by Clauses 102 to 104 provided that the following operational criteria are met:
- a. To be eligible for risk-weighting purposes, the external credit assessment must take into account and reflect the entire amount of credit risk exposure the lender has with regard to all payments owed to it. For example, if a lender is owed both principal and interest, the assessment must fully take into account and reflect the credit risk associated with timely repayment of both principal and interest;
  - b. The external credit assessments must be from an eligible external credit rating agency (CRA) as provided in Paragraph 6 of the [“Master Circular –](#)

[Basel III Capital Regulations” dated July 1, 2015](#) (as amended from time to time). A rating must be published in a publicly accessible form and included in the CRA’s transition matrix. Also, loss and cash flow analysis as well as sensitivity of ratings to changes in the underlying rating assumptions should be publicly available. Consequently, ratings that are made available only to the parties to a transaction do not satisfy this requirement. Further, the external credit assessment provided by the eligible CRAs should not be more than six months old.

- c. Eligible CRAs must have a demonstrated expertise in assessing securitisations, which may be evidenced by strong market acceptance.
- d. Furthermore, a bank cannot use the credit assessments issued by one external credit rating agency for one or more tranches and those of another external credit rating agency for other positions (whether retained or purchased) within the same securitisation structure that may or may not be rated by the first external credit rating agency. Where two or more eligible CRAs can be used and these assess the credit risk of the same securitisation exposure differently, Paragraph 6.7 of the [“Master Circular – Basel III Capital Regulations” dated July 1, 2015](#) will apply.
- e. Where credit risk mitigation (CRM) is provided to specific underlying exposures or the entire pool by an eligible guarantor as defined in Paragraph 7.5.6 of the [“Master Circular – Basel III Capital Regulations” dated July 1, 2015](#) and is reflected in the external credit assessment assigned to a securitisation exposure(s), the risk weight associated with that external credit assessment should be used. In order to avoid any double-counting, no additional capital recognition is permitted. If the CRM provider is not recognised as an eligible guarantor, the covered securitisation exposures should be treated as unrated.
- f. In the situation where a credit risk mitigant solely protects a specific securitisation exposure within a given structure (eg asset-backed security tranche) and this protection is reflected in the external credit assessment, the lender must treat the exposure as if it is unrated and then apply the CRM

treatment outlined in Paragraph 7 of the [“Master Circular – Basel III Capital Regulations” dated July 1, 2015](#) (as amended from time to time).

- g. A lender is not permitted to use any external credit assessment for risk-weighting purposes where the assessment is at least partly based on unfunded support provided by the lender. For example, if a lender buys asset-backed securities (ABS) where it provides an unfunded securitisation exposure (eg liquidity facility or credit enhancement), and that exposure plays a role in determining the credit assessment on the ABS, the lender must treat the ABS as if it were not rated. The lender must continue to hold capital against the other securitisation exposures it provides (eg against the liquidity facility and/or credit enhancement).

102. For exposures with short-term ratings, the following risk weights will apply:

<b>ERBA risk weights for short-term ratings</b>				
External credit assessment	A1+/A1	A2	A3	All other ratings
Risk weight	15%	50%	100%	1250%

103. For exposures with long-term ratings, the risk weights depend on:

- a. the external rating grade;
- b. the seniority of the position;
- c. the tranche maturity; and
- d. in the case of non-senior tranches, the tranche thickness.

104. Specifically, for exposures with long-term ratings, risk weights will be determined according to the following table and will be adjusted for tranche maturity and tranche thickness for non-senior tranches as prescribed in Clause 105 of these directions.

<b>ERBA risk weights for long-term ratings</b>				
Rating	Senior tranche		Non-senior (thin) tranche	
	Tranche maturity ( $M_T$ )		Tranche maturity ( $M_T$ )	
	1 year	5 years	1 year	5 years

AAA	15%	20%	15%	70%
AA+	15%	30%	15%	90%
AA	25%	40%	30%	120%
AA-	30%	45%	40%	140%
A+	40%	50%	60%	160%
A	50%	65%	80%	180%
A-	60%	70%	120%	210%
BBB+	75%	90%	170%	260%
BBB	90%	105%	220%	310%
BBB-	120%	140%	330%	420%
BB+	140%	160%	470%	580%
BB	160%	180%	620%	760%
BB-	200%	225%	750%	860%
B+	250%	280%	900%	950%
B	310%	340%	1050%	1050%
B-	380%	420%	1130%	1130%
CCC+/CCC/CCC-	460%	505%	1250%	1250%
Below CCC-	1250%	1250%	1250%	1250%

105. The risk weight assigned to a securitisation exposure when applying the SEC-ERBA is calculated as follows<sup>2</sup>:

- a. To account for tranche maturity, lenders shall use linear interpolation between the risk weights for one and five years.
- b. To account for tranche thickness, lenders shall calculate the risk weight for non-senior tranches as follows:

$$\text{Risk weight} = (\text{risk weight from table after adjusting for maturity}) \\ * (1 - \min(T, 50\%))$$

where T is the tranche thickness.

---

<sup>2</sup> An illustrative example for calculation of risk weights is given in Annex 4.



106. In the case of market risk hedges such as currency or interest rate swaps, the risk weight will be inferred from a securitisation exposure that is *pari passu* to the swaps or, if such an exposure does not exist, from the next subordinated tranche.

107. The resulting risk weight is subject to a floor risk weight of 15%. In addition, the resulting risk weight should never be lower than the risk weight corresponding to a senior tranche of the same securitisation with the same rating and maturity.

*Alternative capital treatment for term Short, Transparent and Comparable (STC) securitisations*

108. For exposures with short-term ratings, the following risk weights will apply:

<b>ERBA STC risk weights for short-term ratings</b>				
External credit assessment	A1+/A1	A2	A3	All other ratings
Risk weight	10%	30%	60%	1250%

109. For exposures with long-term ratings, risk weights will be determined according to the following table and will be adjusted for tranche maturity, and tranche thickness for non-senior tranches according to Clause 105.

<b>ERBA STC risk weights for long-term ratings</b>				
Rating	Senior tranche		Non-senior (thin) tranche	
	Tranche maturity ( $M_T$ )		Tranche maturity ( $M_T$ )	
	1 year	5 years	1 year	5 years
AAA	10%	10%	15%	40%
AA+	10%	15%	15%	55%
AA	15%	20%	15%	70%
AA-	15%	25%	25%	80%
A+	20%	30%	35%	95%
A	30%	40%	60%	135%
A-	35%	40%	95%	170%
BBB+	45%	55%	150%	225%

BBB	55%	65%	180%	255%
BBB-	70%	85%	270%	345%
BB+	120%	135%	405%	500%
BB	135%	155%	535%	655%
BB-	170%	195%	645%	740%
B+	225%	250%	810%	855%
B	280%	305%	945%	945%
B-	340%	380%	1015%	1015%
CCC+/CCC/CCC-	415%	455%	1250%	1250%
Below CCC-	1250%	1250%	1250%	1250%

110. The resulting risk weight is subject to a floor risk weight of 10% for senior tranches, and 15% for non-senior tranches.

### **Chapter VII: Disclosures**

111. Wherever a third-party servicing agent service have been availed, the originator shall ensure robust and legally binding information sharing mechanisms are in place to comply with stipulated reporting requirements with requisite frequency and rigor. In such cases of obtaining data from third-party entities, originator must get information duly certified by the respective third-party auditors, preferably at a frequency of no more than a year.

#### **A. Disclosures to be made in Servicer/Investor/Trustee Report**

112. The originator(s) should disclose to investors the weighted average holding period of the assets securitised and the level of their MRR in the securitisation.

113. The originator(s) should ensure that prospective investors have readily available access to all materially relevant data on the credit quality and performance of the individual underlying exposures, cash flows and collateral supporting a securitisation exposure as well as such information that is necessary to conduct comprehensive and well-informed stress tests on the cash flows and collateral values supporting the underlying exposures.

114. The disclosure by an originator of its fulfilment of the MHP and MRR should be made available publicly and should be appropriately documented; for instance, a

reference to the retention commitment in the prospectus for securitisation notes issued under that securitisation programme would be considered appropriate. The disclosure should be made at origination of the transaction, and should be confirmed thereafter at a minimum half yearly (end-September and March), and at any point where the requirement is breached. The above periodical disclosures should be made separately for each securitisation transaction, throughout its life, in the servicer report, investor report, trustee report, or any similar document published.

115. The aforesaid disclosures can be made in the format given in Annex 2. These disclosures should be made separately for each securitisation transaction throughout the life of the transaction.

### **B. Disclosures to be made in Notes to Accounts**

116. The Notes to Annual Accounts of the originators should indicate the outstanding amount of securitised assets as per books of the SPEs and total amount of exposures retained by the originator as on the date of balance sheet to comply with the MRR. These figures should be based on the information duly certified by the SPE's auditors obtained by the originator from the SPE.

117. These disclosures should be made in the format given in Annex 3.

### **C. Reporting of Transactions to the Reserve Bank**

118. The originator must also submit the details of the securitisation transactions undertaken, including the details of the securitisation notes issued, to the Reserve Bank on a quarterly basis. The format for the same shall be communicated separately and shall be effective from the date advised therein.

## **Chapter VI: Repeal of circulars**

119. The list of circulars / directions / guidelines that stand repealed with immediate effect is given below:

<b>Sl. No</b>	<b>Circular Number</b>	<b>Date of Issue</b>	<b>Subject</b>
1.	DBOD.NO.BP.BC.60 / 21.04.048/2005-06	01.02.2006	Guidelines on Securitisation of Standard Assets

2.	DBOD.No.BP.BC-103/21.04.177/2011-12	07.05.2012	Revisions to the Guidelines on Securitisation Transactions
3	DNBS. PD. No. 301/3.10.01/2012-13	August 21, 2012	Revisions to the Guidelines on Securitisation Transactions
4	DBOD.No.BP.BC-25/21.04.177/2013-14	01.07.2013	Revision to the Guidelines on Securitisation Transactions - Reset of Credit Enhancement
5	DNBS.PD.CC.No.372/3.10.01/2013-14	March 24, 2014	Revision to the Guidelines on Securitisation Transactions - Reset of Credit Enhancement
6	Paragraph 4.4.5, 5.16 of DBR.No.BP.BC.1/21.06.201/2015-16	01.07.2015	Master Circular – Basel III Capital Regulations

## **Simple, transparent and comparable securitisation – criteria for regulatory capital purposes**

All the following criteria must be satisfied in order for a securitisation to receive the alternative regulatory capital treatment as determined by Clauses 108 to 110.

### **Nature of Assets**

1. The assets underlying the securitisation should be homogeneous credit claims or receivables eligible under Part A of Chapter II. Credit claims or receivables should have contractually identified periodic payment streams relating to principal, interest, or principal and interest payments. Any referenced interest payments or discount rates should be based on an external benchmark.
2. For this purpose, the “homogeneity” criterion should be assessed taking into account the following principles:
  - a. The nature of assets should be such that investors would not need to analyse and assess materially different legal and/or credit risk factors and risk profiles when carrying out risk analysis and due diligence checks of each asset.
  - b. Homogeneity should be assessed on the basis of common risk drivers, including similar risk factors and risk profiles.
  - c. Credit claims or receivables included in the securitisation should have standard obligations, in terms of rights to payments and/or income from assets and that result in a periodic and well-defined stream of payments to investors.
  - d. Repayment of noteholders should mainly rely on the principal and interest proceeds from the securitised assets. Partial reliance on refinancing or re-sale of the asset securing the exposure may occur provided that refinancing is sufficiently distributed within the pool and the residual values on which the transaction relies are sufficiently low and that the reliance on refinancing is thus not substantial.

### **Asset performance history**

3. In order to provide investors with sufficient information on an asset class to conduct appropriate due diligence and access to a sufficiently rich data set to enable a more accurate calculation of expected loss in different stress scenarios, verifiable loss performance data, such as delinquency and default data, should be available for credit claims and receivables with substantially similar risk characteristics to those being securitised, for a time period long enough to permit meaningful evaluation by investors.
4. Sources of and access to data and the basis for claiming similarity to credit claims or receivables being securitised should be clearly disclosed to all market participants.
5. The originator of the securitisation or the original lender of the exposures that are securitised, as the case may be, must have sufficient experience in originating exposures similar to those securitised. For capital purposes, investors must determine whether the performance history of the originator for substantially similar claims or receivables to those being securitised has been established for an "appropriately long period of time". This performance history must be no shorter than a period of seven years for non-retail exposures. For retail exposures, the minimum performance history is five years.

### **Payment status**

6. Credit claims or receivables being transferred to the SPE may not, at the time of inclusion in the pool, include obligations that are in default or delinquent or obligations for which the originator, servicer and other parties with a fiduciary responsibility to the securitisation note holders are aware of evidence indicating a material increase in expected losses or of enforcement actions.
7. To ensure that the quality of the securitised credit claims and receivables is not affected by changes in underwriting standards, the originator should demonstrate to investors that any credit claims or receivables being transferred to the SPE have been originated in the ordinary course of the originator's business to materially non-deteriorating underwriting standards.
8. The originator should verify that the underlying credit claims or receivables meet the following conditions:

- a. the credit claims or receivables is not under the monitoring period as defined in defined in the [Prudential Framework on Resolution of Stressed Assets dated June 7, 2019](#);
  - b. the obligor does not have a credit assessment by a credit rating agency or a credit score indicating a significant risk of default; and
  - c. the credit claim or receivable is not subject to a dispute between the obligor and the originator.
9. The assessment of these conditions should be carried out by the originator no earlier than 45 days prior to the date on which the securitisation comes into effect. Additionally, at the time of this assessment, there should, to the best knowledge of the originator, be no evidence indicating likely deterioration in the performance status of the credit claim or receivable.

#### **Consistency of underwriting**

10. Underwriting standards should not be less stringent than those applied to credit claims and receivables retained on the balance sheet. In all circumstances, all credit claims or receivables must be originated in accordance with sound and prudent underwriting criteria based on an assessment that the obligor has the “ability and volition to make timely payments” on its obligations.
11. The originator of the securitisation is expected, where underlying credit claims or receivables have been acquired from third parties, to review the underwriting standards (ie to check their existence and assess their quality) of these third parties and to ascertain that they have assessed the obligors’ “ability and volition to make timely payments on obligations”.

#### **Asset selection and transfer**

12. While assets transferred to a securitisation will be subject to defined criteria as approved by the Board of the originator, the performance of the securitisation should not rely upon the ongoing selection of assets through active management on a discretionary basis of the securitisation’s underlying portfolio.

*Explanation:* As long as they are not actively selected or otherwise cherry-picked on a discretionary basis, the addition of credit claims or receivables during the replenishment periods or their substitution or

repurchasing due to the breach of representations and warranties do not represent active portfolio management.

13. Credit claims or receivables transferred to a securitisation should satisfy clearly defined eligibility criteria. Credit claims or receivables transferred to a securitisation after the date on which securitisation becomes effective may not be actively selected, actively managed or otherwise cherrypicked on a discretionary basis.
14. The securitisation should be such that the underlying credit claims or receivables:
  - a) are enforceable against the obligor and their enforceability is included in the representations and warranties of the originator;
  - b) are beyond the reach of the seller, its creditors or liquidators and are not subject to material recharacterisation or clawback risks;
  - c) are not effected through credit default swaps, derivatives or guarantees, but by a transfer of the credit claims or the receivables to the securitisation;
  - d) demonstrate effective recourse to the ultimate obligation for the underlying credit claims or receivables and are not a securitisation of other securitisations; and
  - e) for regulatory capital purposes, an independent third-party legal opinion must support the claim that the transfer of assets under the applicable laws comply with the above sub-clauses.
15. The originator should provide representations and warranties that the credit claims or receivables being transferred to the SPE are not subject to any condition or encumbrance that can be foreseen to adversely affect enforceability in respect of collections due.
16. To assist investors in conducting appropriate due diligence prior to investing in a new offering, sufficient loan-level data in accordance with applicable laws or, in the case of granular pools, summary stratification data on the relevant risk characteristics of the underlying pool should be available to potential investors before pricing of a securitisation.

#### **Initial and ongoing data**

17. To assist investors in conducting appropriate and ongoing monitoring of their investments' performance and so that investors that wish to purchase a securitisation in the secondary market have sufficient information to conduct



appropriate due diligence, timely loan-level data in accordance with applicable laws or granular pool stratification data on the risk characteristics of the underlying pool and standardised investor reports should be readily available to current and potential investors at least quarterly throughout the life of the securitisation. Cut-off dates of the loan-level or granular pool stratification data should be aligned with those used for investor reporting.

18. To provide a level of assurance that the reporting of the underlying credit claims or receivables is accurate and that the underlying credit claims or receivables meet the eligibility requirements, the initial portfolio should be reviewed for conformity with the eligibility requirements by an appropriate legally accountable and independent third party.

*Explanation:* The review should confirm that the credit claims or receivables transferred to the SPE meet the portfolio eligibility requirements. The review could, for example, be undertaken on a representative sample of the initial portfolio, with the application of a minimum confidence level. The verification report need not be provided but its results, including any material exceptions, should be disclosed in the initial offering documentation.

### **Redemption cash flows**

19. To help ensure that the underlying credit claims or receivables do not need to be refinanced over a short period of time, there should not be a reliance on the sale or refinancing of the underlying credit claims or receivables in order to repay the liabilities of the SPE, unless the underlying pool of credit claims or receivables is sufficiently granular and has sufficiently distributed repayment profiles. Rights to receive income from the assets specified to support redemption payments should be considered as eligible credit claims or receivables in this regard

### **Currency and interest rate asset and liability mismatches**

20. To reduce the payment risk arising from the different interest rate and currency profiles of assets and liabilities and to improve investors' ability to model cash flows, interest rate and foreign currency risks should be appropriately mitigated at all times, and if any hedging transaction is executed the transaction should be documented according to industry-standard master agreements. Only

derivatives used for genuine hedging of asset and liability mismatches of interest rate and / or currency should be allowed.

- a. For capital purposes, the term “appropriately mitigated” should be understood as not necessarily requiring a completely perfect hedge. The appropriateness of the mitigation of interest rate and foreign currency through the life of the transaction must be demonstrated by making available to potential investors, in a timely and regular manner, quantitative information including the fraction of notional amounts that are hedged, as well as sensitivity analysis that illustrates the effectiveness of the hedge under extreme but plausible scenarios.
- b. If hedges are not performed through derivatives, then those risk-mitigating measures are only permitted if they are specifically created and used for the purpose of hedging an individual and specific risk, and not multiple risks at the same time (such as credit and interest rate risks). Non-derivative risk mitigation measures must be fully funded and available at all times.

### **Payment priorities and observability**

21. Investor reports should contain information that allows investors to monitor the evolution over time of the indicators that are subject to triggers. Any triggers breached between payment dates should be disclosed to investors on a timely basis in accordance with the terms and conditions of all underlying transaction documents.
22. Following the occurrence of a performance-related trigger, an event of default or an acceleration event, the securitisation positions should be repaid in accordance with a sequential amortisation priority of payments, in order of tranche seniority, and there should not be provisions requiring immediate liquidation of the underlying assets at market value.
23. To assist investors in their ability to appropriately model the cash flow waterfall of the securitisation, the originator should make available to investors, both before pricing of the securitisation and on an ongoing basis, a liability cash flow model or information on the cash flow provisions allowing appropriate modelling of the securitisation cash flow waterfall.
24. To ensure that debt forgiveness, loan moratoriums, payment holidays, restructurings and other asset performance remedies can be clearly identified,

policies and procedures, definitions, remedies and actions relating to delinquency, default or restructuring of underlying debtors should be provided in clear and consistent terms, such that investors can clearly identify debt forgiveness, loan moratoriums, payment holidays, restructuring and other asset performance remedies on an ongoing basis.

### **Voting and enforcement rights**

25. To help ensure clarity for note holders of their rights and ability to control and enforce on the underlying credit claims or receivables, upon insolvency of the originator, all voting and enforcement rights related to the credit claims or receivables should be transferred to the SPE. Investors' rights in the securitisation should be clearly defined in all circumstances, including the rights of senior versus junior note holders.

### **Documentation disclosure and legal review**

26. To help investors to fully understand the terms, conditions, legal and commercial information prior to investing in a new offering and to ensure that this information is set out in a clear and effective manner for all programmes and offerings, sufficient initial offering and draft underlying documentation should be made available to investors (and readily available to potential investors on a continuous basis) within a reasonably sufficient period of time prior to pricing, or when legally permissible, such that the investor is provided with full disclosure of the legal and commercial information and comprehensive risk factors needed to make informed investment decisions.

*Explanation:* For the purpose of this clause, initial offering documentation would typically mean draft offering circular, draft offering memorandum, draft offering document or draft prospectus, such as a “red herring” whereas draft underlying documentation would typically mean asset sale agreement, assignment, novation or transfer agreement; servicing, backup servicing, administration and cash management agreements; trust/management deed, security deed, agency agreement, account bank agreement, guaranteed investment contract, incorporated terms or master trust framework or master definitions agreement as applicable; any relevant inter-creditor agreements, swap or derivative documentation,

subordinated loan agreements, start-up loan agreements and liquidity facility agreements; and any other relevant underlying documentation, including legal opinions.

27. Final offering documents should be available from the closing date and all final underlying transaction documents shortly thereafter. These should be composed such that readers can readily find, understand and use relevant information.

### **Fiduciary and contractual responsibilities**

28. To ensure that all the documentation of securitisation transactions has been subject to appropriate review prior to publication, the terms and documentation of the securitisation should be reviewed by an appropriately experienced third party legal practice, such as a legal counsel already instructed by one of the transaction parties. Investors should be notified in a timely fashion of any changes in such documents that have an impact on the structural risks in the securitisation.
29. To help ensure servicers have extensive workout expertise, thorough legal and collateral knowledge and a proven track record in loss mitigation, such parties should be able to demonstrate expertise in the servicing of the underlying credit claims or receivables, supported by a management team with extensive industry experience. The servicer should at all times act in accordance with reasonable and prudent standards.
30. Policies, procedures and risk management controls should be well documented and adhere to good market practices and relevant regulatory regimes. There should be strong systems and reporting capabilities in place. In assessing whether “strong systems and reporting capabilities are in place” for capital purposes, well documented policies, procedures and risk management controls, as well as strong systems and reporting capabilities, may be substantiated by a third-party review.
31. The party or parties with fiduciary responsibility should act on a timely basis in the best interests of the securitisation note holders, and both the initial offering and all underlying documentation should contain provisions facilitating the timely resolution of conflicts between different classes of note holders by the trustees, to the extent permitted by applicable law.

32. The party or parties with fiduciary responsibility to the securitisation and to investors should be able to demonstrate sufficient skills and resources to comply with their duties of care in the administration of the SPE.
33. To increase the likelihood that those identified as having a fiduciary responsibility towards investors as well as the servicer execute their duties in full on a timely basis, remuneration should be such that these parties are incentivised and able to meet their responsibilities in full and on a timely basis.

### **Transparency to investors**

34. To help provide full transparency to investors, assist investors in the conduct of their due diligence and to prevent investors being subject to unexpected disruptions in cash flow collections and servicing, the contractual obligations, duties and responsibilities of all key parties to the securitisation, both those with a fiduciary responsibility and of the ancillary service providers, should be defined clearly both in the initial offering and all underlying documentation.

*Explanation:* For the purpose of this clause, “initial offering” and “underlying transaction documentation” shall have the same meaning as in the explanation to the Clause 26 of this Annex.

35. Provisions should be documented for the replacement of servicers, bank account providers, derivatives counterparties and liquidity providers in the event of failure or non-performance or insolvency or other deterioration of creditworthiness of any such counterparty to the securitisation.
36. To enhance transparency and visibility over all receipts, payments and ledger entries at all times, the performance reports to investors should distinguish and report the securitisation’s income and disbursements, such as scheduled principal, redemption principal, scheduled interest, prepaid principal, past due interest and fees and charges, delinquent, defaulted and restructured amounts under debt forgiveness and payment holidays, including accurate accounting for amounts attributable to principal and interest deficiency ledgers.

### **Credit risk of underlying exposures**

37. At the portfolio cut-off date<sup>3</sup> the underlying exposures have to meet the conditions under the Standardised Approach for credit risk, and after taking into account any eligible credit risk mitigation, for being assigned a risk weight equal to or smaller than:
- a. 40% on a value-weighted average exposure basis for the portfolio where the exposures are loans secured by residential mortgages or fully guaranteed residential loans;
  - b. 50% on an individual exposure basis where the exposure is a loan secured by a commercial mortgage;
  - c. 75% on an individual exposure basis where the exposure is a retail exposure; or
  - d. 100% on an individual exposure basis for any other exposure.

### **Granularity of the pool**

38. At the portfolio cut-off date, the aggregated value of all exposures to a single obligor shall not exceed 1% of the aggregated outstanding exposure value of all exposures in the portfolio.

*Provided that* the applicable maximum concentration threshold could be increased to 2% if the originator retains subordinated tranche(s) that form credit enhancements that are loss absorbing, and which cover at least the first 10% of losses. These tranche(s) retained by the originator shall not be eligible for the STC capital treatment.

---

<sup>3</sup> Date post which no credit claim or receivable transfer shall happen to SPE except against breach of representation and warranties as permitted under these directions

## Annex 2

### Non-exhaustive\* format for Disclosure Requirements in offer documents, servicer report, investor report, etc.

#### Name/Identification No. of securitisation transaction:

	Nature of disclosure		Details	Amount/ percentage/ years
1	Maturity characteristics of the underlying assets (on the date of disclosure)	(i)	Weighted average maturity of the underlying assets (in years)	
		(ii)	Maturity-wise distribution of underlying assets:	
			<i>a) Percentage of assets maturing within one year</i>	
			<i>b) Percentage of assets maturing within one to three year</i>	
			<i>c) Percentage of assets maturing within three to five years</i>	
		<i>d) Percentage of assets maturing after five years</i>		
2	Minimum Holding Period (MHP) of securitised assets	(i)	MHP required as per RBI guidelines (years/months)	
		(ii)	a) Weighted average holding period of securitised assets at the time of securitisation (years / months)	
			b) Minimum and maximum holding period of the securitised assets	
3	Minimum Retention Requirement (MRR) on the date of disclosure	(i)	MRR as per RBI guidelines as a percentage of book value of assets securitised and outstanding on the date of disclosure	
		(ii)	Actual retention as a percentage of book value of assets securitised and outstanding on the date of disclosure	
		(iii)	Types of retained exposure constituting MRR in percentage of book value of assets securitised (percentage of book value of assets securitised and outstanding on the date of disclosure)	
			<i>a) Credit Enhancement (i.e. whether investment in equity/subordinate tranches, first/second loss guarantees, cash collateral, overcollateralisation</i>	
			<i>b) Investment in senior tranches</i>	
			<i>c) Liquidity support</i>	
	<i>d) Any other (pl. specify)</i>			

		(iv)	Breaches, if any, and reasons there for	
4	Credit quality of the underlying loans	(i)	Distribution of overdue loans (post securitisation)	
			<i>a) Percentage of loans overdue up to 30 days</i>	
			<i>b) Percentage of loans overdue between 31-60 days</i>	
			<i>c) Percentage of loans overdue between 61-90 days</i>	
			<i>d) Percentage of loans overdue more than 90 days</i>	
		(ii)	Details of tangible security available for the portfolio of underlying loans (vehicles, mortgages, etc.)	
			<i>a) Security 1(to be named) (% loans covered)</i>	
			<i>b) Security 2...</i>	
			<i>c) Security 'n'</i>	
		(iii)	Extent of security cover available for the underlying loans	
			<i>a) Percentage of loans fully secured included in the pool (%)</i>	
			<i>b) Percentage of partly secured loans included in the pool (%)</i>	
			<i>c) Percentage of unsecured loans included in the pool (%)</i>	
		(iv)	Rating-wise distribution of underlying loans (if these loans are rated)	
			<i>a) Internal grade of the bank/external grade (highest quality internal grade may be indicated as 1)</i>	
			1/AAA or equivalent	
			2	
			3	
			4...	
			N	
<i>b) Weighted average rating of the pool</i>				
(v)	Default rates of similar portfolios observed in the past			
	<i>a) Average default rate per annum during last five years</i>			
	<i>b) Average default rate per annum during last year</i>			



		(vi)	Upgradation/Recovery/Loss Rates of similar portfolios	
			<i>a) Percentage of NPAs upgraded (average of the last five years)</i>	
			<i>b) Amount written-off as a percentage of NPAs in the beginning of the year (average of last five years)</i>	
			<i>c) Amount recovered during the year as a percentage of incremental NPAs during the year (average of last five year)</i>	
		(vii)	Frequency distribution of LTV ratios, in case of housing loans and commercial real estate loans)	
			<i>a) Percentage of loans with LTV ratio less than 60%</i>	
			<i>b) Percentage of loans with LTV ratio between 60-75%</i>	
			<i>c) Percentage of loans with LTV ratio greater than 75%</i>	
			<i>d) Weighted average LTV ratio of the underlying loans (%)</i>	
		(viii)	Frequency distribution of Debt-to-Income (DTI) ratios, as applicable and/or available	
			<i>a) Percentage of loans with DTI ratio less than 60%</i>	
			<i>b) Percentage of loans with DTI ratio between 60-75%</i>	
			<i>c) Percentage of loans with DTI ratio greater than 75%</i>	
			<i>d) Weighted average DTI ratio of the underlying loans (%)</i>	
		(ix)	Prepayment Rates	
			<i>a) Prepayment rate observed in the current portfolio</i>	
			<i>b) Prepayment rate observed of similar portfolio in the past</i>	
5	Other characteristics of the loan pool	(i)	Industry-wise breakup of the loans in case of mixed pools (%)	
			<i>Industry 1</i>	
			<i>Industry 2</i>	
			<i>Industry 3...</i>	
			<i>Industry n</i>	
		(ii)	Geographical distribution of loan pools (state-wise) (%)	

			<i>State 1</i>	
			<i>State 2</i>	
			<i>State 3</i>	
			<i>State 4</i>	

\* The above format should be considered as a baseline disclosure. Based on the product characteristics and market expectation, adequate disclosure, in addition to items mentioned above, must be made to reflect the true picture of securitised pools at all times.

**Annex 3**

**Disclosures to be made in Notes to Accounts by originators (please provide table separately for STC and non-STC transactions)**

<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>Particulars</b>	<b>As on Current Year FY ending</b>	<b>As on Previous Year FY ending</b>
1.	No of SPEs holding assets for securitisation transactions originated by the originator (only the SPVs relating to outstanding securitization exposures to be reported here)		
2.	Total amount of securitised assets as per books of the SPEs		
3.	Total amount of exposures retained by the originator to comply with MRR as on the date of balance sheet		
	a) Off-balance sheet exposures <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• First loss</li> <li>• Others</li> </ul>		
	b) On-balance sheet exposures <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• First loss</li> <li>• Others</li> </ul>		
4.	Amount of exposures to securitisation transactions other than MRR		
	a) Off-balance sheet exposures <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) Exposure to own securitisations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• First loss</li> <li>• Others</li> </ul> </li> <li>ii) Exposure to third party securitisations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• First loss</li> <li>• Others</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
	b) On-balance sheet exposures <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) Exposure to own securitisations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• First loss</li> <li>• Others</li> </ul> </li> <li>ii) Exposure to third party securitisations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• First loss</li> <li>• Others</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
5.	Sale consideration received for the securitised assets and gain/loss on sale on account of securitisation		
6.	Form and quantum (outstanding value) of services provided by way of, liquidity support, post-securitisation asset servicing, etc.		
7.	Performance of facility provided. Please provide separately for each facility viz. Credit enhancement, liquidity support, servicing agent		

	etc. Mention percent in bracket as of total value of facility provided. (a) Amount paid (b) Repayment received (c) Outstanding amount		
8.	Average default rate of portfolios observed in the past. Please provide breakup separately for each asset class i.e. RMBS, Vehicle Loans etc		<i>(may mention average default rate of previous 5 years)</i>
9.	Amount and number of additional/top up loan given on same underlying asset. Please provide breakup separately for each asset class i.e. RMBS, Vehicle Loans etc		
10.	Investor complaints (a) Directly/Indirectly received and; (b) Complaints outstanding		

**(No./Amount in Rs. crores)**

### Indicative illustration of RWA Computation under SEC-ERBA approach<sup>4</sup>

- Underlying loans being securitised: INR 2000 crores;
- Issued Securitised Notes: INR 1800 crores;
- Overcollateralization: 200 crores;
- Maturity 'M' (as envisaged for use in RWA computation): 3 years;
- Total underlying pool for purpose of attachment and detachment point computation: 2000 crores;
- Calculation below is exhibited for non-STC securitisation;
- Adjustment in Risk Weight for a maturity equal to M years =  $RW_{year1} + (M-1) * \frac{(RW_{year5} - RW_{year1})}{(5-1)}$  (Column 4 below);
- Risk Weight (%) = Risk weight as given in table in para 104 (depending upon senior/non-senior exposure) adjusted for maturity \* (1- Minimum (T, 50%)) (Column 5 below);

### RWA Computation

Securitisation Notes (1)	Determination of Tranche Thickness (2)	Rating (presumptive, not indicative) (3)	RW after interpolating linked to maturity year (4)	RW after factoring in tranche thickness (5)	Risk-Weighted Assets (RWA) <sup>@</sup> (6)
<b>Note A (senior): INR 1500 crores</b>	Attachment point*: (250+50+200)/2000 = 0.25 Detachment Point#: 1 (1500+250+50+200)/2000 Tranche thickness (T): (1-0.25) = 0.75	AA+	RW for 1 year = 15% RW for 5 year = 30% (from the table)  Actual RW adjusting for maturity 15% + (30-15)%*2/4 = <b>22.5%</b>	No tranche thickness adjustment requirement for senior tranche	<b>1500 * 22.5% = 337.5 crores</b>
<b>Note B: 250 crores</b>	Attachment point: (50+200)/2000 = 0.125 Detachment Point: (250+50+200)/2000 = 0.25 Tranche thickness (T): (0.25-0.125) = 0.125	AA-	RW for 1 year = 40% RW for 5 year = 140% (from the table)  Actual RW adjusting for maturity 40% + (140-40)%*2/4 = 90%	90% * (1- Min(0.5,0.125)) = <b>78.75%</b>	<b>250 * 78.75% = 196.875 crores</b>
<b>Note C: 50 crores</b>	Attachment point: 200/2000 = 0.10 Detachment Point: (50+200)/2000 = 0.125 Tranche thickness (T): (0.125-0.10) = 0.025	BB+	RW for 1 year = 470% RW for 5 year = 580% (from the table)  470% + (580-470)%*2/4 = 525%	525% * (1- Min(0.5,0.025)) = <b>511.875%</b>	<b>50 * 511.875% = 255.94 crores</b>
<b>Total Risk-Weighted Assets</b>					<b>790.315 crores</b>

\* Attachment point of a tranche is the fraction of pool losses to which it is *not* exposed

# Detachment point of a tranche is the fraction of pool losses at which it is entirely wiped out

Attachment point of one tranche is the detachment point of the next-most junior tranche.

@ The RWA calculation indicates requirement for RBI regulated entities holding securitisation notes

<sup>4</sup> Given the adoption of new BASEL regime, an indicative example of RWA computation is furnished here only for the limited purpose of lucidity. The computation above does not obviate or opine on the need of other securitisation exposures in the securitisation structure or the capital that may be required to be kept for such securitisation exposures.

While the example takes aid of a completely hypothetical securitisation structure, it is reiterated that this does not indicate any regulatory guidance, preference or opinion on any aspect of the securitisation including, but not limited to, the design of the securitisation structure, its credit worthiness and rating.